# Against VNIVERSALL Libertie of CONSCIENCE.

BEING

### ANIMADVERSIONS

UPON

Two Letters written to a Friend
Pleading for it.

H. Palmer.

Imprimatur JA. CRANFORD.



Printed at London for Thomas Vnderhill, at the Bible in Great Woodstreete. 1644.



## An Extract of A Letter.

I fronger for an Vniversall liberty of Conscience.

## Animadversions.

N Vniversall liberty of Conscience, is an universall liberty to Sin, to maintaine Heresie, to practise Idolatry, to vent Blasphemy; in a word, an universall liberty to dishonour God, under pretence of Serving him, and to damme ones own soule irrecoverably, (unlesse every one may be saved by his

own Religion, though never so false) and to hazard (as much as men san) the damnation of multitudes of others, who may be infected by such poysonful Do-

Nor can I altogether agree with Mr. D. that either in a particular Congregation, or Synodicall Government of several Churches, there neede any, either bond, as not to part upon occafrom; or such carriage of things by Pose as should become a prefuse upon weake Consciences, with which though weake and erronious) were are taught by Scripture to beare.

No Conscience deserves to be called weake, but that which holds the foundation truely, though weakely, and withall expresses the power of Conscience in what ever is holds, walking according to the Rules of Piety and Monesty acknowledged. Mow shall I believe that men acts or forbeares, professes, or denies out of Conscience (though weak) who in undenieble matters bath put away Conscience, or seared it?

And in truth if it be fadly weighed that the Dictates of the Conscience are not (like those of our Reason or Will) Submittible at our owne plcasures to an ontward power,

being that part of the inward Man, which God doth particuliarlyclaim to himselfe,

it might invite all Chri-Atans to vindicaté one anothers Confciences from errors by towerful compulsion of Gods Word, but not by outward punishments to compell them to that which is

I doe not understand how it is faid a men can submit his Reason ( any more then his Con-(cience) to an outward potter; I may be filent, but my Reafon is not fubmitted, when I feare an outward power: Alfo, is not a mans Reafon applied to matters of Confcience, the Eye of it, whereby a man indges this or that Do-Arine to be truth, whether he be miftaken in it. or no ! If then I can fabmit my Reason can I not, ( doe I not) allo submit my Consci-

Norknow I how properly it is faid, that the Confeience (contra diffinct from the Reafon and Will) is that part of the inward man, which God doth peculiarly claime to himselfo : claimes hee nos the whole man ( inward (as well as outward) the Reason, Memory, Will, Affections) as well as the Conscience ? if the Conscience be not rather all thefe, as relating to Religion; Alfo, if a man's conscience must be wholly left to the powerfull compulsion of Gods Word, why not a maneReafon or Will alfo, without offering to either the violence of outward power?

Here it is Obiected, That it is not to be com-

pelled to that which is not in its owns power.

Anf. The word, compeling, being milaken in regard of the end of it, deceives. Or elfe this Affertion is very dangerous, For, I. The punifiment is not to compell to put away or deng Conscience, but either to fludy, or receive meanes of Inkruction to fatisfie Confrience; Or to forbeare spreading an Error, which would pervere Seules, or breede publicke difturbance, And this Compulsion may (if you lift to to diftinguish) be fayd to be applicable rather to the Reason of Will, then to the Conscience, unlesse you will suppose the Conscience to be so peremptery, as to conclude, that all is but delution that can be faid against it, and so violent, as mone upon earth

not in their own power,

may command them silence. Which kinde of Conscience I dare be bold to say, the Apostle had not in his minde, when he gave Rules about weaker Consciences. Nor can you describe mee a perverse or willfull Conscience it this be to be counted weake. 2. If no man may be punished, for their which is not in his owne power, 1: How then, is an habituated Theise, Drunkard, Swearer, &c., punished, of whom we consesse, that he cannot leave it? 2: How doth God justly punish the Reprobate, in whose power surely (God not giving him grace) even Pelagins himselfe durst not say, it was to convert himselfe.

It is againe obiected, Wee confesse, though the thing

be truth in it felfe, yet it is a Sinne in them.

but it must
be (by our
owne confession)
though a
truth in it
selfe, get it
so a sinne in
them.

But is it not a Sinne also to deny Ttruth, to forbeare duty, to speake and all against both? The way then to free the man from Sinne, is to instruct him; and if he be froward and will not receive Instruction, then to perswade him by some punishment, to be so humble and wise, as to hearken; yet not force him to act, or professe, till he be instructed, Only if his opinion or practice, fret like a Gangrene (as the Aposses phrase is) a Restraint may be, and should be put upon that man, even the while Instruction is offering.

And though every mans particular zeale to bis owne fancies; bath almost swallowed those two best of Christian vertues; and true badges of ChriI acknowledge Charity and Humility to be great vertues; But Faith must not be forgotten among Christians, without which a man cannot be a Christian at all; nor Zeale, without which a man shall be spewed out of Christs mouth. I would be charitable to a sew, or Turke, or Arrism; But it my Faith condemns not their opinions as damnable, I have no true Faith in Christ; And if my Zeale can endure their blasphemy against Christ, I am not so respective to him as I would be to the honour of my Prince, or even of my selfe: Nor yet doe I love their Soules (or

others

Stimity,

stianity, Charity and Humility.

others whom they will endanger if let alone) so much as I doe a mans Horses or Oxen, which I will neither suffer insectious Cattle to come amongst, nor yet to be stolne without punishment. In matters of doubtfull disputations, Humility doth well not so obtrude any opinion too peremptorily. But Religion allowes no Scepticisme under pretence of Humility, in matters of moment. And the best Charity, is to secure others Soules, and labour to regaine the Erring, by instruction, seconded with all other motives.

Yet Naturall Reason, the Rules of common civilitie, and Lex Talionis, might fully teach us to be that to others, which wee could wish others towards us, the Tables being turned: and I dare boldly fay, that there is not a Sect now amongst us, that if they may not tyrrannize over all the other nine, would not thinke their truth (what soever in it self) fit tobe tollerated, and how, but from an infolent over-valuing our owne thoughts) can any of us deny, that priviledge to others, which wee claime from others as just :

As for natural Reason, common Civility, and Lex talionis, the Tables being turned; I say in a word, As it is my duty to suffer for truth, if God call me to it; and my duty to fight for the truth, if God call me to that. So is it no lesse my duty to not the Sword of Inflice so vindicate the truth, and Gods honour, and Soules Safety (according to the method afore exprest) if God have intrusted me with that Sword also. And in conclusion, he spake like a wife man, that faid, It is better to live in that Common Wealth, where nothing is lawfull, then where every thing. Apply this to Religion, and you have my hears fully fableribing it thus, I had rather live among Barbarians, amogs whom nothing of the true Religion is fuffered, then any where in the world among all Religions fuffered.

# An Extract of another Letter before the sending of the former Animadversions.

#### The Letter.

I Am forry to see that in matter of Conscience you send me
to Authority: my Reason and
Will are my owne, though not
to consent, yet to be submitted by
me to Authority, but the Conscience is Gods peculiar?
which to offend though
erronious) is a Sinne;
and if a sinne in me to
science
test lesse in them, that by
that test
any kinde or degree of that of
persecution, tempt or to no m
force me.

The Bishops, upon a conscientions sight of errours forlooke Romes authority, wee upon the like grounds have outed the Bishops, against whom the greatest objection was that which we now allow not others to make; A

e had a believe to

#### Animadversions,

A Gaine, I would faine be informed how I can submit my Reason, more than my Conscience to Authority. And also how I may submit my will, unlesse in things indifferent, or in Sufferings?

It is indeed a Sin to offend confeience, shough erroncous, but it is also a finne to act according to that erroneous confeience: So shat he who suffers finne upon his brother, is guilty, as well as he that tempts or forces him to finne; but he that offers to teach him, and forces him to no more, but to herken, and consider, or so forbeare infecting others, is so farre from tempting him to sinne, that he betrayes his and others soules, together with Gods trush, if he doe it not.

Wer (and not the Bishops onely) forsocke Romes authority, not simply because
Rome saught other errours, but even because its Authority was an Errour; and so
was the Bishops Authority too; though
some of the men were, and are yet very
Orthodoxe in other points: but now
so such Authority is assumed by any as
they usurped.

Zatanisaava juuta 3

LCCCCI.

and that unpartiall, free, unmuzeled triall of truth, which we thought unjustly denied to us from the Bishops, wee now as unjustly and Bishop like deny to others.

Trath among those that can understand and apprehend it, bath a subduing power beyond the whip, and in those that understand not, the whip may perhaps breede a submission, but not possibly, that consent, knowledge, and faith, without which actions most conformable to truth, are yet in us but sune.

I read in the Scriptures many excellent Rules of bearing, not offending, not judging, not putting a fumbling block in the way of those for whom (though perhaps weake and erroneous) Christ dyed, &c. I read 2 Tim. 2.24, 25. That we should be gentle, apt to teach, patient, in meekenesse instructing even them that oppose themselves.

It was not the Authority of the Bishops (as Bishops) but the tyrramy of some (of most) of them, that denyed us an appartiall, free, unmousled tryal of truth (as your phrase is) but this, quaternus Tyrannicall, must only referre to those truthes which Protestants differ in, not to those which are visals of Christianity. For if by an ampartial, free, nonmocled tryal of truth, we means a liberty publicke to dispuse whether Christ be God? or the Soule immertall? we never complained that this liberty was denyed, which cannot be granted, without enduring blasphemy, and hazarding many soules,

It is certaine that truth apprehended subdates most strongly, but it is also certaine, that, vexario des inselectum, The redde and reproofe give Wisdome, Prov. 29.15. And it is undeniable both in reason and experience, that by forcing a man to study, he may be subdued to and by truth, that would have scorned it eternally, if your whippe had not saught him

better manners.

Yet I say still, No man should be forced to all without faith, but onely to give just proofe, that he is willing to receive truth, and to labour for it, and withall to forbeare mischeiving others.

The Rules towards a weeke Confesence are deliver red in metters of indifferency, and Christian Liberry by them not loose, not in masters of greatest moment in Religion, yet morkoness in the ligion, ligion in the ligio

Nay further, I find generalls in the word of God, that the followers of truth must suffer perfecution:

God indeed faith All that will live gody in Christ Iesus hall suffer persecution. But men that call for liberty of conscience, doe in effect say that neither godly nor ungodly may be made to suffer any thing, if they doe but pretend conscience.

but for their
perfecution of others, or
whipping
men into
the truth,
I finde neither pretept in
Scripture,
nor example any
where elfe
but at Rome

As for perfecuting ( or Whipping) men into the truth, There be examples and precepts too, more then one in the old Testamenr. (and it were insustice to looke for them in the New Testament, which mentions no godly or christian Magistrates acts : nor any one name but the converted Sergins Panlus, Alt. 13. nor any duty of Maje Arates, but that, Rom. 13. of not bearing the Sword in vaine &c : ) The precept against the falle Prophet, Deut. 13. And the whole City seduced to Idolatry; and against Blasphemy, Lev. 24, and giving their Seed to Moloch. Lev. 20, 2, And the example of Eliah flaying the Prophets of Baall, I Kings 18, who yet acted according to their consciences apparently : and Asa's covenant that whoever would not feeke God fhould be put to death; and Josiahs compelling them to ferve God: and yet no doubt many consciences still cleaved to their Idolls, and fo the fory and the Prophets affure us. Rome then did not introduce this; but abuses it against the truth which was ordayned for it.

I pray pardon this digression, in which I am if erroneous) yet impartiall in
what concernes me, not being neither Brownist nor
Anabaptist but wishing
those abeing among us, who
soyn with us in one Christian and one Common liberty.

As you are impartial, so am I so moderate, as to wish an Anabaptist or Brownist lesse punishment then they wish mee, and doe fincerely wish them, so long a being among us (I means their persons) as is necessary to convince them of their errours, provided that they will show they sincerely seeke tuch, and sorbeare seducing others, for not otherswise.

6 MA 50

FINIS.

